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The systems and processes that underpin the operations of the AFL Tribunal (Tribunal) are fundamental to the AFL competition. There have been a number of amendments to these systems and processes for 2019 following our annual review. As part of the review, we requested feedback from all AFL and AFLW Clubs, the AFL and AFLW Competition Committees, the AFL Players Association (AFLPA) and industry representatives.

The guiding principles of the Tribunal system are:

» To operate a simple system which is fair and reasonable and can be understood readily by the industry and wider public;

» To achieve greater consistency in the reporting process via a Match Review Officer (MRO);

» To promote appropriate outcomes by processing lower-level offences via the MRO, and higher-level offences via the Tribunal;

» To promote the transparency and certainty of the process by detailing various Reportable Offences and determining the severity (and corresponding sanctions) for those offences;

» To promote efficiency of the Tribunal process by allowing Players, where appropriate, to accept penalties without having to appear before the Tribunal;

» To provide Players with the opportunity to contest a charge by permitting legal representation;

» To provide Players with prescribed avenues of appeal in respect of MRO and Tribunal determinations;

» To continually update and improve the technology available to the MRO and the Tribunal; and

» To increase public understanding of the Tribunal system and its determinations.

The following table outlines the key outcomes of the Tribunal from 2009-18. The previous system was implemented in 2005. As outlined in the table, some of the key statistics for 2018 were:

» 94% of Players charged with a Reportable Offence accepted the charge determined.

» 27 Tribunal hearings were held, compared with five in 2017.

» Six cases were not sustained at the Tribunal, compared with one in 2017.

» Two cases were appealed, as against one in 2017.

» 35 Players were suspended, as against 38 in 2017.

» 65 matches were lost through suspension, compared with 66 in 2017.

» $161,000 in low-level financial sanctions imposed, compared with $89,000 in 2017.

» $209,000 in fixed financial sanctions imposed, as against $75,500 in 2017.

We thank the Clubs, the AFLPA and other members of the football community for their valuable input to this annual review.

---

STEVE HOCKING  
General Manager – Football Operations  
Australian Football League
1 / CHANGES INTRODUCED FOR 2019

The following elements of the Tribunal system have been modified for 2019:

A. Striking: An offence of Striking has been added to the list of Fixed Financial Sanctions for striking actions with insufficient impact to constitute a Classifiable Offence.

B. Head Clashes from Bumps: Head clashes will now always be considered a reasonably foreseeable consequence arising from a bump and the previous provisions removed.

C. Intentional Umpire Contact: A specific guideline has been created for Intentional Umpire Contact, whereby charges will result for intentional contact with an umpire that is aggressive, forceful, disrespectful or demonstrative.

D. Unreasonable or Unnecessary Umpire Contact: A new offence of Unreasonable or Unnecessary Contact with an Umpire has been created to address contact which isn’t covered by the new Intentional Umpire Contact offence, and which goes beyond the offence of Careless Contact with an Umpire.

E. Other Minor Regulation/Guideline Amendments:
   The following minor amendments will be made to the AFL Regulations/Tribunal Guidelines:
   » The sanction for Intentional Conduct, High Impact and High Contact incidents has been modified from a direct referral to the AFL Tribunal to a fixed penalty of three matches.
   » The Tribunal and Appeals Board personnel structure has been modified to enable Chairs and panels to be selected on a per hearing basis, and the pool of members has been merged.
   » The process specified in Regulation 17 in relation to sanctioning Clubs for melees has been modified such that the Club will no longer be afforded the opportunity to make a written submission prior to determination of any sanction.
   » The offences of Stomping and Eye Gouging have been modified from Classifiable Offences to Direct Tribunal Offences.
   » The AFL Regulations have been modified to ensure the Tribunal Jury must follow the Table of Offences for graded direct referrals unless there are exceptional and compelling circumstances.

2 / HOW THE SYSTEM WORKS

2.1 THE REPORTING PROCESS

(A) LODGEMENT OF A REPORT OR REFERRAL

The Match Review Officer (MRO) will assess all reports and referrals lodged in respect of potential Reportable Offences. A Notice of Report may be lodged by an officiating Umpire for the relevant match. A referral may be lodged by the officiating Umpires, Umpires’ Observers, the National Head of Umpiring, the AFL General Manager – Football Operations, the CEO of a club competing in the relevant match, or the MRO post video review of all matches.

In relation to Notices of Report lodged with the MRO, the MRO will contact the Umpire who completed and lodged the Notice of Report prior to deciding whether to charge the Player with the Reportable Offence(s) referred to in the Notice of Report.

(B) DETERMINATION OF TYPE OF OFFENCE AND APPROPRIATE CHARGE (IF ANY)

Following review of each report or referral, the MRO in conjunction with the AFL General Manager – Football Operations will determine whether the Player is to be charged with a Reportable Offence and, if so, the appropriate type of Reportable Offence. There are three types of Reportable Offences, being:
» A Classifiable Offence (graded in accordance with section 3.1);
» A Direct Tribunal Offence (referred by the MRO directly to the Tribunal – see section 3.2); or
» A Fixed Financial Offence (determined in accordance with section 3.3).

The MRO will inform the Player (or the Player’s club) whether or not that Player has been charged with a Reportable Offence and, if so, the type of offence and corresponding sanction for that charge. The MRO will provide reasons where it determines that a Player the subject of a report or referral is not to be charged with a Reportable Offence.

(C) OPTIONS AVAILABLE TO A PLAYER CHARGED WITH A REPORTABLE OFFENCE

A Player charged with a Reportable Offence may:
» Submit an early guilty plea, in which case the relevant sanction for the Reportable Offence will apply subject to any reduction available as a result of the early guilty plea (see applicable reductions in section 3); or
» Contest a charge or plead guilty to a lesser charge, in which case a Tribunal hearing will be convened for which the Player may engage legal representation.

(D) TRIBUNAL HEARINGS

The Tribunal will hear a charge for which a Player has pleaded not guilty or has pleaded guilty to a lesser charge. The Tribunal may find the Player guilty of the original charge or lesser charge, or may find the Player not guilty of any charge. The Tribunal will determine the appropriate sanction for the ultimate Reportable Offence it finds a Player to have committed (if any).

A Player who has suffered harm as a result of the alleged offence may give evidence prior to or at the Tribunal hearing, but only with the permission of the Tribunal Chairman.

For Classifiable Offences resulting in fixed one, two or three-match suspensions, if a Player elects to challenge the charge at the AFL Tribunal there will be a $10,000 cost levied on their club in the event the challenge is unsuccessful. This cost replaces a reduction in sanction for an early guilty plea.

(E) AN APPEAL OF A DECISION OF THE TRIBUNAL

A Player or the AFL General Manager – Football Operations may appeal the decision of the Tribunal to the Appeal Board on one or more of the following grounds:
» An error of law has occurred;
» The decision of the Tribunal is so unreasonable that no Tribunal acting reasonably could have come to that decision having regard to the evidence before it;
» The classification of the offence by the Tribunal was manifestly excessive or inadequate; or
» The sanction imposed by the Tribunal was manifestly excessive or inadequate.
In addition, Regulation 19 provides that an appellant can seek leave of the Appeal Board to produce fresh evidence provided the appellant can convince the Appeal Board that the evidence sought to be produced could not, by reasonable diligence, have been obtained prior to the conclusion of the Tribunal hearing and where that evidence is of sufficient value that had it been presented before the Tribunal, the Tribunal would have reached a different decision (see Regulation 19.20(b)). The cost of an appeal will be $5000, with $2500 refundable in the event of a successful appeal.

2.2 THE MRO AND THE TRIBUNAL

(A) MRO
Match Review Officer: Michael Christian
Secretary: Tom Gastin

Role
» Review reports or referrals lodged by Umpires and other designated officials.
» Analyse available video of all potential Reportable Offences.
» Make charges when satisfied that a Reportable Offence has occurred.
» Determine the appropriate classification of Classifiable Offences.
» Refer relevant offences to the Tribunal where applicable.
» Advise Players of any charges and the corresponding sanction for that charge (which a Player may accept or contest at the Tribunal).
» Provide reasons in respect of any reports or referrals which do not progress to a charge.

(B) TRIBUNAL & APPEAL BOARD
Tribunal/Appeal Board Chairs: David Jones, Ross Howie, Geoff Giudice AO, Murray Kellam QC and Peter O’Callaghan QC
Appeal Board Panel Members: David Jones, Ross Howie, Geoff Giudice AO, Murray Kellam QC, Wayne Henwood, Stephen Jurica, Richard Loveridge and Peter O’Callaghan QC
Tribunal Counsel: Jeff Gleeson QC, Nick Pane QC, Andrew Woods and Renee Enbom
Secretary: Tom Gastin

Role of the Tribunal
» The Tribunal convenes to hear contested Reportable Offences, Direct Tribunal Offences and those Classifiable Offences which are sufficiently serious to be referred to the Tribunal.
» The Tribunal is comprised of a Chairman and a three-member Jury.
» The Chairman manages process and decides on points of law.
» The Jury determines whether the Reportable Offence occurred and applies an appropriate sanction (if applicable).

Role of the Appeal Board
» The Appeal Board convenes to hear appeals of matters from the Tribunal.
» The Appeal Board is comprised of a Chairman and two members.
» The Chairman manages the process of the appeal.
» The three-member Appeal Board determines the points of Law and whether the ground(s) of appeal is successful.
» Members of the Appeal Board for a hearing cannot be the same members that sat on the matter at the Tribunal.

THE REPORTING PROCESS

**REPORTS OR REFERRALS**

**REPORTS**
» Umpires

**REFERRALS**
» Umpires
» Umpires Observer
» Umpires Manager
» AFL General Manager – Football Operations
» Club CEOs
» Match Review Officer video review (all matches reviewed)

**REPORTS OR REFERRALS**

**MATCH REVIEW OFFICER**

**REFFERED TO**

**NO CHARGE MADE**
MRO provides brief reasons why charge rejected.

**MATCH REVIEW OFFICER**

**CHARGE MADE AND LEVEL OF OFFENCE DECIDED**

**OPTIONS**

1. Player accepts charge, pleads guilty, and is penalised according to the Table of Offences. Discounts may apply for an early guilty plea.

2. A Player can contest a charge (i.e. plead not guilty) or the level of charge (i.e. seek a lower level of charge as per Table of Offences). Charge goes to Tribunal.

**TRIBUNAL DECISION**

**GROUNDS FOR APPEAL**
A Player or the AFL General Manager – Football Operations can appeal on the following points:
» Error of law.
» That the decision was so unreasonable that no Tribunal acting reasonably could have come to that decision having regard to the evidence before it.
» Classification of offence manifestly excessive or inadequate.
» Sanction imposed manifestly excessive or inadequate.

**COST OF APPEAL**
» $5000, $2500 non-refundable.
3 / REPORTABLE OFFENCES

A Reportable Offence occurs where a Person or Player commits any of the offences set out in Law 19.2.2 of the Laws of Australian Football (the Laws) or any other offence referred to in Regulation 15.9 of the AFL Regulations (the Regulations). Broadly speaking, there are three categories of Reportable Offences, being:

- Classifiable Offences
- Direct Tribunal Offences
- Fixed Financial Offences

See section 4 of these Tribunal Guidelines for further information in relation to Reportable Offences.

3.1 CLASSIFIABLE OFFENCES

(A) WHICH REPORTABLE OFFENCES ARE CLASSIFIABLE OFFENCES?

Classifiable Offences are those Reportable Offences (specified in the table below) which are graded by the MRO in order to determine an appropriate sanction for that offence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLASSIFIABLE OFFENCES</th>
<th>CONDUCT</th>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>CONTACT</th>
<th>SANCTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Striking</td>
<td>Intentional</td>
<td>High/Groin</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>4+ Matches (Tribunal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kicking</td>
<td>Intentional</td>
<td>High/Groin</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>3+ Matches (Tribunal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kneeling</td>
<td>Intentional</td>
<td>High/Groin</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>3 Matches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charging</td>
<td>Intentional</td>
<td>High/Groin</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>2 Matches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rough Conduct</td>
<td>Intentional</td>
<td>High/Groin</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>2 Matches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forceful Front-On Contact</td>
<td>Intentional</td>
<td>High/Groin</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>1 Match</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headbut or Contact Using Head</td>
<td>Intentional</td>
<td>High/Groin</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>Fine*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unreasonable or Unnecessary Contact to the Eye Region</td>
<td>Intentional</td>
<td>High/Groin</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>Fine*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unreasonable or Unnecessary Contact to the Face</td>
<td>Intentional</td>
<td>High/Groin</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>Fine*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scratching</td>
<td>Intentional</td>
<td>High/Groin</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>Fine*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripping</td>
<td>Intentional</td>
<td>High/Groin</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>Fine*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more information on how the MRO and Tribunal assess Classifiable Offences, please refer to section 4.2 of these Tribunal Guidelines.

(B) GRADING CLASSIFIABLE OFFENCES

The MRO will grade Classifiable Offences in accordance with the following table:

For information on the classification of a Classifiable Offence, please refer to section 3.1(e) next page.

1. The Conduct is Intentional or Careless;
2. The Impact is Severe, High, Medium or Low; and
3. The Contact with the other Player/person is High/Groin or to the Body.

Accordingly, an offence assessed by the MRO to be of a lower level will generally attract a fine as a sanction. On the other hand, an offence assessed by the MRO to be of a higher level will attract a sanction of a fixed one, two or three-match suspension. More serious Classifiable Offences or offences which do not fit the classification Table will be referred by the MRO to the Tribunal (which will determine an appropriate sanction at its discretion). For incidents referred directly to the Tribunal, serious intentional actions and/or serious misconduct will be subject to greater sanctions commensurate with the potential to cause serious injury and/or the potential to prejudice the reputation of any person, club or the AFL or bring the game of football into disrepute.

Example: A Player is reported for Striking (a Classifiable Offence). In considering the report, the MRO will assess the level of Conduct, Impact and Contact. The MRO determines:

- The Conduct was Careless, but not Intentional;
- The Impact of the Strike was High; and
- The Contact was to the Body of the opposition Player.

(C) REDUCTIONS IN SANCTION FOR AN EARLY GUILTY PLEA

For Classifiable Offences where the sanction is fixed at one, two or three matches of suspension, there is no reduction in sanction for an early guilty plea. The sanction for a low-level Classifiable Offence (those resulting in a fine) will be decreased by a fixed percentage where a Player submits an early guilty plea (refer section 3.1(e) next page).

(D) CONTESTING A CLASSIFIABLE OFFENCE CHARGE

A Player charged with a Classifiable Offence may contest that charge at the Tribunal in its entirety or may seek to downgrade the charge. A Player who successfully contests a charge will not receive a sanction or will receive a lesser sanction in respect of the charge (as per Regulation 18.6(e)). The Tribunal may also determine that the relevant offence should be classified differently under the Table of Offences (refer Regulation 18.6(a)(i)). If exceptional and compelling circumstances apply which would make it inappropriate or unreasonable to apply the outcome of the classification under the Table of Offences, the Tribunal may impose a sanction (or no sanction) in their absolute discretion (refer Regulation 18.6(a)(ii)).

Successful contest: If a Player successfully contests the classification of a charge at the Tribunal (such that the Tribunal determines to downgrade the charge), he will be entitled to receive the sanction for that lesser offence.

Example: Player Smith is charged with Rough Conduct, which was graded as Intentional, High Impact and Body Contact by the MRO. Player Smith decides that he is guilty of Rough Conduct, but that the conduct was Careless, not Intentional. He challenges this at the Tribunal and is successful, which reduces the sanction to a one-match suspension. Because the grounds on which he appealed were successful, his club is not levied $10,000.

Partially successful contest: A Player who contests two or more aspects of a charge, but who is only successful in one aspect may be sanctioned with a downgraded charge, however the challenge will be regarded as unsuccessful and his club will be levied $10,000.

Example: Player Smith is charged with Rough Conduct, which was graded as Intentional, High Impact and Body Contact by the MRO, resulting in a two-match suspension. Player Smith decides to challenge both the Conduct (Intentional to Careless), as well as the Impact (High to Medium). If Player Smith was only successful challenging one aspect, his charge would be downgraded to a one-match suspension, however the challenge will still be regarded as unsuccessful and his club will be levied $10,000.

* Refer (e) on next page for the low-level fine structure.

As indicated in the table above, the determination of a sanction for a Classifiable Offence will be made based on an assessment of whether:
Unsuccessful contest: A Player who unsuccessfully contests a charge will receive the original sanction, and his club will be levied $10,000 (subject to the Tribunal’s discretion to classify the charge differently).

(E) MULTIPLE LOW-LEVEL CLASSIFIABLE OFFENCES
Where a Player commits multiple low-level Classifiable Offences in a single AFL season, each of which attracting a sanction of a fine (as per the table in section 3.1(b)), that sanction (and sanction following an early guilty plea) for the second, third and subsequent low-level Classifiable Offences will be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOW-LEVEL CLASSIFIABLE OFFENCE</th>
<th>SANCTION</th>
<th>EARLY GUILTY PLEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First offence</td>
<td>$3000</td>
<td>$2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second offence</td>
<td>$5000</td>
<td>$3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third and subsequent offences</td>
<td>$8000</td>
<td>$5000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(F) IMPACT OF A BAD RECORD ON CLASSIFIABLE OFFENCES
The sanction for Classifiable Offences will not be automatically increased where a Player has a bad record. However, the MRO has the discretion to directly refer the Player to the Tribunal in their absolute discretion under Regulation 15.12(i)(vi), which includes as a result of a bad record at AFL or State League level. Evidence in relation to the record of a Player can be tendered to the AFL Tribunal without the leave of the Chairman when the charge is directly referred to the Tribunal.

(G) IMPACT OF A GOOD RECORD
Players will not automatically receive a reduced sanction for a good record. However, if a Classifiable Offence is contested or referred to the Tribunal, a Player with an exemplary record may argue that their good record constitutes exceptional and compelling circumstances under Regulation 18.6(a)(ii) (which would make it inappropriate to apply the consequences in Appendix 1 to the determined classification). This record refers only to matches played at AFL or State League level and leave of the Tribunal Chairman is not required to tender evidence in relation to this issue. In such circumstances, the Jury members would determine the appropriate sanction in their absolute discretion.

3.2 DIRECT TRIBUNAL OFFENCES
(A) WHICH REPORTABLE OFFENCES ARE DIRECT TRIBUNAL OFFENCES?
Direct Tribunal Offences are those Reportable Offences (specified in the table below) which are referred by the MRO directly to the Tribunal for determination without grading (i.e. without an assessment of the offence using the Classification Table):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIRECT TRIBUNAL OFFENCES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intentional Contact with an Umpire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye Gouging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striking an Umpire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spitting on or at an Umpire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spitting on Another Person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempting to Strike an Umpire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behaving in an Abusive, Insulting, Threatening or Obscene Manner Towards or in Relation to an Umpire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Classifiable or Fixed Financial Offence which Attracts a Sanction that the MRO Finds Inappropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Other Act of Serious Misconduct which the MRO Considers Appropriate to Refer to the Tribunal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B) DETERMINATION OF DIRECT TRIBUNAL OFFENCES?
The Tribunal will determine Direct Tribunal Offences as it would any other offence which is referred to it (see section 2.1(d) and 5 for more information in relation to Tribunal hearings).

(C) TRIBUNAL SANCTIONS
The Tribunal Jury will determine the appropriate sanction for a Direct Tribunal Offence in its absolute discretion.
3.3 FIXED FINANCIAL OFFENCES

Fixed Financial Offences are Reportable Offences which attract a fixed financial sanction only (as per the following table).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIXED FINANCIAL OFFENCES</th>
<th>SANCTION (EARLY GUILTY PLEA SANCTION IN BRACKETS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FIRST OFFENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abusive, Insulting, Threatening, Obscene Language Towards or in Relation to an Umpire</td>
<td>$2500 ($1500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instigator of a Melee</td>
<td>$2500 ($1500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spitting at Another Player</td>
<td>$2500 ($1500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striking</td>
<td>$2500 ($1500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unreasonable or Unnecessary Contact with an Umpire</td>
<td>$2500 ($1500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempting to Strike/Kick/Trip</td>
<td>$1500 ($1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Careless Contact with an Umpire</td>
<td>$1500 ($1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disputing a Decision</td>
<td>$1500 ($1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engaging in a Melee</td>
<td>$1500 ($1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obscene Gesture</td>
<td>$1500 ($1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinching</td>
<td>$1500 ($1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unreasonable or Unnecessary Contact with an Injured Player</td>
<td>$1500 ($1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrestling</td>
<td>$1500 ($1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staging</td>
<td>$1500 ($1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfering with a Player Kicking for Goal</td>
<td>$1000 ($500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Leaving the Playing Surface</td>
<td>$1000 ($500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaking a Goalpost</td>
<td>$1000 ($500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Wasting</td>
<td>$1000 ($500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Other Act of Misconduct that is not a Classifiable Offence or Direct Tribunal Offence</td>
<td>The financial sanction for a first, second, third or subsequent act of misconduct will be determined by the MRO in its absolute discretion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The increased sanctions for second, third or subsequent Fixed Financial Offences will only apply where a Player has been found guilty of the same Fixed Financial Offence within the previous two AFL years, except for Engaging in a Melee and Wrestling charges, where the record for these offences will be considered jointly.

3.4 MULTIPLE REPORTABLE OFFENCES IN THE ONE MATCH

If a Player is found guilty of two or more Reportable Offences arising from the one match, the sanctions for those offences will be added together to form the final sanction handed to that Player. If any of the two or more Reportable Offences arising from the one match have a sanction of a fine, they will be considered individually in the application of section 3.1(e).

Example: Player Clarke is charged with two offences – Kneeing (sanction of one match) and Striking (sanction of two matches). Player Clarke is found guilty of the two charges after challenging them at the Tribunal. The result would mean that Player Clarke would be suspended for three matches.

3.5 OFFENCES INCURRED IN THE AFL GRAND FINAL

Reportable Offences which arise out of the AFL Grand Final will attract the same sanction as normal except as follows:

- If the offence ordinarily attracts a sanction of two or more matches, then it will be referred directly to the Tribunal, where the Tribunal will determine the appropriate sanction in its absolute discretion (penalty at large).
- The sanction for the following Fixed Financial Offences will be doubled if such offences are incurred during the AFL Grand Final:
  - Engaging in a Melee
  - Instigator of a Melee
  - Wrestling
4 / FURTHER EXPLANATION OF KEY TERMS AND ISSUES

4.1 AFL REGULATIONS
These Tribunal Guidelines endeavour to provide guidance in respect of the AFL Regulations. The Reportable Offences are governed by and in accordance with Appendix 1 of AFL Regulations. Terms defined in AFL Regulations will have the same meaning in these Tribunal Guidelines unless the context requires otherwise.

4.2 DETERMINING THE CLASSIFICATION OF CLASSIFIABLE OFFENCES
As noted in section 3.1 of these Tribunal Guidelines, in order to determine the appropriate sanction for a Classifiable Offence, the MRO will seek to determine whether:

- The Conduct is Intentional or Careless;
- The Impact is Severe, High, Medium or Low; and
- The Contact is High/Groin or to the Body.

Video examples of incidents related to Conduct, Impact and Contact have been distributed to AFL Clubs and are also contained in Schedule 2 of these Tribunal Guidelines.

The following is a guide to how the MRO and Tribunal will interpret these three factors.

(A) CONDUCT
In considering a report in respect of a Classifiable Offence, there will be a determination as to whether the Player’s conduct has been Intentional or Careless. If the Player’s conduct is found to fall short of being careless no charge will be laid against the Player.

Intentional conduct
A Player intentionally commits a Classifiable Offence if the Player engages in the conduct constituting the Reportable Offence with the intention of committing that offence. An intention is a state of mind. Intention may be formed on the spur of the moment. The issue is whether it existed at the time at which the Player engaged in the conduct.

Whether or not a Player intentionally commits a Reportable Offence depends upon the state of mind of the Player when he does the act with which he is charged. What the Player did is often the best evidence of the purpose he had in mind. In some cases, the evidence that the act provides may be so strong as to compel an inference of what his intent was, no matter what he may say about it afterwards. If the immediate consequence of an act is obvious and inevitable, the deliberate doing of the act carries with it evidence of an intention to produce the consequence.

For example, a strike will be regarded as Intentional where a Player delivers a blow to an opponent with the intention of striking him.

The state of a Player’s mind is an objective fact and has to be proved in the same way as other objective facts. The whole of the relevant evidence has to be considered. If the matter is heard by the Tribunal, the Tribunal Jury will weigh the evidence of the Player as to what his intentions were along with whatever inference as to his intentions can be drawn from his conduct or other relevant facts. The Player may or may not be believed by the Tribunal Jury. Notwithstanding what the Player says, the Tribunal Jury may be able to conclude from the whole of the evidence that he intentionally committed the act constituting the Reportable Offence.

Careless conduct
A Player’s conduct will be regarded as Careless where his conduct is not intentional, but constitutes a breach of the duty of care owed by the Player to all other Players. Each Player owes a duty of care to all other Players, Umpires and other persons (as applicable) not to engage in conduct which will constitute a Reportable Offence being committed against that other Player, Umpire or other person (as applicable). In order to constitute such a breach of that duty of care, the conduct must be such that a reasonable Player would not regard it as prudent in all the circumstances. Further, a Player will be careless if they breach their duty to take reasonable care to avoid acts which can be reasonably foreseen to result in a Reportable Offence.

An example of careless conduct would be where a Player collides with another Player who has taken a mark and where contact occurs just after the mark has been taken. The offending Player has a duty of care to avoid any contact which would constitute a Reportable Offence by slowing his momentum as much as he reasonably can and a failure to do so constitutes carelessness.

(B) IMPACT
Consideration will be given as to whether the impact is Low, Medium, High or Severe. In determining the level of impact, regard will be had to several factors.

Firstly, consideration will be given to the extent of force and in particular, any injury sustained by the Player who was offended against. Secondly, strong consideration will be given to the potential to cause injury, particularly in the following cases:

- Intentional head-high strikes, such as those with a swinging clenched fist, raised forearms or elbow;
- High bumps, particularly with significant head contact and/or Player momentum;
- Any head-high contact with a Player who has his head over the ball, particularly when contact is made from an opponent approaching from a front-on position;
- Forceful round arm swings that make head-high contact to a Player in a marking contest, ruck contest or when tackling;
- Spear tackles; and
- Driving an opponent into the ground when his arms are pinned.

The absence of injury does not preclude the classification of impact as Severe. In determining the level of impact, regard will be had to the extent of force and injury sustained by the Victim Player.

Severe. In determining the level of impact, regard will be had to the extent of force and injury sustained by the Victim Player. Severe will be laid against the Player.

In addition, consideration will be given to the body language of the offending Player in terms of flexing, turning, raising or positioning the body to either increase or reduce the force of impact.

It should be noted that Low impact is the minimum impact required for a Classifiable Reportable Offence and this requires more than just a negligible impact.

(C) CONTACT
The MRO will consider whether Contact to the Victim Player was High to the Groin or to the Body. In the interests of protecting the health and welfare of Players, sanctions for head-high contact and contact to the groin will be more severe.

Contact to the Groin includes contact to the crease or hollow at the junction of the inner part of each thigh with the trunk together with the adjacent region and including the testicles.

Where contact is both High and to the Body, the MRO will classify the contact as High.

Contact shall be classified as High or to the Groin where a Player’s head or groin makes contact with another Player or object such as the fence or the ground as a result of the actions of the offending Player. By way of example, should a Player tackle another Player around the waist and, as a result of the tackle, the tackled Player’s head made contact with the fence or the ground, the contact in these circumstances would be classified as High, even though the tackle was to the body.
4.3 REPORTABLE OFFENCES

The Laws set out a non-exhaustive list of specific Reportable Offences in Law 19.2.2 as well as providing for various categories of permitted contact which shall not constitute a Reportable Offence (for example legally using a hip, shoulder, chest, arms or open arms, providing the football is no more than five metres away, and contact which is incidental to a marking contest where a Player is legitimately marking or attempting to mark the football).

The Laws define certain offences such as Charging and Engaging in a Melee, however they provide that in interpreting Reportable Offences, words, terms or phrases which are not defined in the Laws shall be given their ordinary meaning. The following provides some further guidance in relation to what constitutes particular Reportable Offences.

(A) STRIKING, KICKING

Striking and kicking are interpreted in accordance with their commonly understood meaning. A strike would usually be by hand, arm or elbow and will generally not apply to other contact using the body. A kick is generally applied to contact by foot or leg.

Under the Classifiable Offences, a strike or kick requires more than negligible impact. Where a strike, for example, does not have more than negligible impact, it is still open to the MRO to charge a Player with Striking under the Fixed Financial Offences table where it is satisfied that, notwithstanding the result, the intention was to commit an act constituting a Reportable Offence. Where no contact is made, the MRO can charge a Player with an Attempt to Strike or Kick, which are also Fixed Financial Offences.

(B) CLASSIFICATION OF CERTAIN STRIKES

For the purpose of these Guidelines, all Players should note that the following factors are considered when determining the classification of a Striking offence:

**Intent:** Notwithstanding any other part of these Guidelines, the fact that an act of striking occurred behind the play or off the ball or during a break in play or with a raised forearm or elbow is usually consistent with the strike being intentional.

**Impact:** Notwithstanding any other part of these guidelines, any Careless or Intentional strike which is of an inherently dangerous kind and/or where there is a potential to cause serious injury (such as a strike with a raised elbow or forearm) will usually not be classified as “Low Impact” even though the extent of the actual physical impact may be low. Such strikes will usually be classified at a higher level commensurate with the nature and extent of the risk of serious injury involved.

(C) MISCONDUCT

Misconduct has a wide meaning and generally is any conduct which would be regarded as unacceptable or unsportsmanlike by other participants in the match or where it had the effect or potential to prejudice the reputation of any person, club or the AFL or to bring the game of football into disrepute.

Serious misconduct offences will be referred directly to the Tribunal. However, any other act of Misconduct will be subject to a Fixed Financial Sanction to be determined by the MRO.

(D) FORCEFUL FRONT-ON CONTACT

Bumping or making forceful contact to an opponent from front-on when that opponent has his head down over the ball is a Reportable Offence. Unless Intentional, such actions will be deemed to be Careless, unless:

» The Player was contesting the ball and did not have a realistic alternative way to contest the ball; or

» The bump or forceful contact was caused by circumstances outside the control of the Player which could not reasonably be foreseen.

**Note:** A Player can bump an opponent’s body from side-on but any contact forward of side-on will be deemed to be front-on. A Player with his head down in anticipation of winning possession of the ball or after contesting the ball will be deemed to have his head down over the ball for the purposes of this law.

(E) ROUGH CONDUCT

Rough Conduct is interpreted widely in relation to any conduct which is unreasonable in the circumstances. It is a Reportable Offence to intentionally or carelessly engage in rough conduct against an opponent which in the circumstances is unreasonable.

Without limiting the wide interpretation of Rough Conduct, particular regard shall be had to the following officially recognised forms of Rough Conduct:

1. Rough Conduct (High Bumps)

The AFL Regulations provide that a Player will be guilty of Rough Conduct where in the bumping of an opponent (whether reasonably or unreasonably) the Player causes forceful contact to be made with any part of his body to an opponent’s head or neck. Unless Intentional, such conduct will be deemed to be Careless, unless:

» The Player was contesting the ball and did not have a realistic alternative way to contest the ball; or

» The forceful contact to the opponent’s head or neck was caused by circumstances outside the control of the Player which could not be reasonably foreseen.

In the interests of Player safety, the purpose of the rule dealing with high bumps is to reduce, as far as practicable, the risk of head injuries to Players and this purpose needs to be kept firmly in mind by all Players and will guide the application of the rule.

For the purpose of these guidelines, head clashes that result when a Player has elected to bump are circumstances that can reasonably be foreseen. Players will ordinarily be liable if they elect to bump if not contesting the ball.

2. Rough Conduct (Bumps to the Body)

It should be noted that even if the rule relating to high bumps does not apply (for example in the case of a bump to the body), a Player may still be guilty of Rough Conduct if his conduct was unreasonable in the circumstances. In determining whether any bump was unreasonable in the circumstances, without limitation, regard may be had to whether:

» The degree of force applied by the person bumping was excessive for the situation;

» The Player being bumped was in a vulnerable position; and

» The Player could reasonably expect the contact having regard to his involvement in play or ability to influence the contest.

3. Rough Conduct (Dangerous Tackles)

The application of a tackle may be considered Rough Conduct which is unreasonable in the circumstances. In determining whether the application of a tackle constitutes a Reportable Offence and whether the offence is Careless or Intentional, without limitation, regard may be had to the following factors, whether:

» The tackle consists of more than one action, regardless of whether the Player being tackled is in possession of the ball;

» The tackle is of an inherently dangerous kind, such as a spear tackle or a tackle where a Player is lifted off the ground;

» The Player being tackled is in a vulnerable position (i.e. arms pinned) with little opportunity to protect himself;

» An opponent is slung, driven or rotated into the ground with excessive force.

4. Rough Conduct (Contact Below the Knees)

Under the Laws of Australian Football, it is prohibited to make contact with an opponent below the knees. Players who keep their feet are vulnerable to serious injury from opponents who lunge, dive or slide toward them and make contact below the knees. It is the purpose of these guidelines to protect such Players from the risk of foreseeable injury. A Player may be guilty of Rough Conduct if he makes contact below the knees of an opponent and does so in a manner which is unreasonable in the circumstances. It is not a defence that the Player who made the prohibited contact was contesting the ball or was first to the ball. The primary responsibility of Players with respect to contact below the knees is to avoid the risk of foreseeable injury. In determining whether any contact below the knees is unreasonable in the circumstances, regard may be had to:

» The degree of momentum and/or force involved in the contact;

» Whether the Player causes contact below the knees by sliding with his foot, feet, knee or knees in front of him;

» Whether the opposition Player was in a position that was vulnerable to contact below the knees (for example, standing over the ball or approaching from the opposite direction); and

» Whether the Player making contact had any realistic alternative ways of approaching the contest or situation.

It should be noted that even where the contact is not made below the knees of the opposition Player but to another part of an opponent’s body, a Player may still

Note: The following provides some further guidance in relation to what constitutes particular Reportable Offences.

Phrases which are not defined in the Laws shall be given their ordinary meaning. However, they provide that in interpreting Reportable Offences, words, terms or phrases which are not defined in the Laws shall be given their ordinary meaning.
be guilty under the general definition of Rough Conduct for making unreasonable contact by sliding or dropping in to an opponent with their knees or feet first.

(F) CONTACT WITH AN UMPIRE
1. Intentional Contact with an Umpire
Contact with an Umpire that is aggressive, forceful, demonstrative or disrespectful will be deemed intentional and the Player will be directly referred to the Tribunal.

2. Unreasonable or Unnecessary Contact with an Umpire
Where contact with an Umpire is not aggressive, forceful, demonstrative or disrespectful but could otherwise be regarded as intentional, it will be classified as Unreasonable or Unnecessary Contact with an Umpire and subject to a Fixed Financial Sanction.

3. Careless Contact with an Umpire
Contact with an Umpire by a Player will be regarded as careless when it constitutes a breach of the duty of care owed by the Player to the Umpire. Regard will be had to the following factors when determining if the contact is careless:
- Whether contact occurs at a centre bounce or ball up;
- Whether the Player has set up behind the Umpire;
- Whether the Player has taken a path that intersects the Umpire’s exit line from a stoppage;
- The force of the contact;
- Whether the Umpire’s decision-making is impeded;
- Whether the Umpire goes to ground as a result of the contact;
- Any mitigating factors (effort to avoid contact, offline bounce or throw, pushed by an opponent into the Umpire’s path etc).

A charge of Careless Contact with an Umpire will be subject to a Fixed Financial Sanction.

(G) MELEE
1. Engaging in a Melee
A Melee is defined as an incident involving three or more Players and/or Officials who are grappling or otherwise struggling with one another and which is likely to bring the game of Australian Football into disrepute or prejudice the interests or reputation of the AFL. The offence of Engaging in a Melee is subject to a Fixed Financial Sanction.

2. Instigator of a Melee
Instigator of a Melee is defined as where the Player’s conduct results in retaliatory action which leads to a Melee. The offence of Instigator of a Melee is in addition to the offence of Engaging in a Melee which may have the effect of a Player being found guilty of both offences.

(H) STAGING
A Player will be reported for staging. Staging can include excessive exaggeration of contact in an unsportsmanlike manner. Staging shall be a Reportable Offence as it may:
- Affect umpires’ decision-making;
- Incite a melee; and/or
- Not be in the spirit of the game (unsportsmanlike).

(I) TRIPPING
In determining whether a trip is above the level of impact to constitute a Reportable Offence, regard will be had to how fast the opponent was moving, whether the trip was by hand or by foot/leg and whether contact was made with a swinging motion.

4.4 PROCEDURAL AND OTHER MATTERS
(A) AFL YEAR
A reference to any previous period of AFL Years will be a reference to the period calculated retrospectively from the round in which a Player has been found guilty of a Reportable Offence or Reportable Offences. In the case of the finals, it is calculated by reference to the same week number in the previous finals series. Other individual matches (such as representative matches, exhibition matches or practice matches which are subject to AFL Regulations) are calculated retrospectively from the date of the match or sanction for those matches. For instance, where a Player has been found guilty of a Reportable Offence or Reportable Offences in round 10 in 2018, the previous period of two AFL Years shall be the period commencing from and including round 10 in 2016.

(B) CHARGES IN THE ALTERNATIVE
Generally, the MRO will not charge a Player for a specific offence and another offence in the alternative. Regulation 18.10(b) provides that the Tribunal may allow charges to be amended prior to or at any time during a hearing before the Tribunal and this ensures that in an appropriate case, based on the evidence before the Tribunal, a charge will be amended if necessary. To avoid any doubt, the power to amend a charge includes the power to substitute another charge.

(C) CLASSIFIABLE OFFENCES DIRECTLY REFERRED TO THE TRIBUNAL
Regarding Classifiable Offences directly referred to the Tribunal, the MRO may classify the relevant factors of Conduct, Impact and Contact or alternatively directly refer the matter to the Tribunal ungraded.

(D) OTHER DIRECT REFERRALS TO THE TRIBUNAL
In addition to the Direct Tribunal Offences referred to in section 3.2, the MRO or the General Manager – Football Operations may in their absolute discretion, having regard to all the circumstances, refer a notice of charge to the Tribunal for determination (see Regulation 15.12). In such circumstances the Player will not have the option of an early plea, however the Tribunal may favourably consider a Player’s guilty plea.

The MRO may refer a matter to the Tribunal under Regulation 15.12 where, for example, it is not able to determine a matter based on the evidence before it. It is noted that the MRO has investigative powers for the purpose of ensuring so far as is possible, and regardless of the apparent conclusiveness or otherwise of any video, that the MRO can still classify offences, as it sees fit.

The MRO may also refer a matter to the Tribunal if it considers it appropriate to do so based on the circumstances of the offence, the record of any Player involved, any suspected mitigating factors or other unusual features of any report such as a hit ‘off the ball’ on an unsuspecting opponent.

(E) EXCEPTIONAL AND COMPELLING CIRCUMSTANCES
Where there are exceptional and compelling circumstances which make it inappropriate or unreasonable to apply financial or suspension sanctions that would usually apply to a particular Classifiable Offence, the Tribunal may impose any sanction it considers appropriate (as per Regulation 18.6(a)(ii)). Exceptional and compelling circumstances may arise where:
- A Player has an exemplary record;
- A Reportable Offence was committed in response to provocation;
- A Reportable Offence was committed in self-defence; or
- There are multiple Reportable Offences that arise from the same event or course of conduct.

(F) MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES
In determining the appropriate classification to be given to a Reportable Offence, the MRO will not take into account any provocation or whether a Player was acting in self-defence. However, while the Tribunal will generally apply the sanction corresponding to a particular offence, the Tribunal has the power in exceptional and compelling circumstances for the Tribunal to substitute another outcome if it is appropriate in all the circumstances to do so.

(G) INJURY
The MRO and the Tribunal can inquire and receive information as to the nature and extent of any injury suffered by a Player in relation to a Reportable Offence. The nature and extent of injury may be a relevant factor in determining the level of Impact. Contact, and in some instances, the nature of the Conduct. Clubs must provide a medical report within three hours of a request to do so.

(H) INTRA-CLUB MATCHES
The AFL will not report Players in respect of conduct which occurs in intra-club matches, except where an incident relates to an umpire (in which case the MRO will deal with this matter as it sees fit).
5 / GUIDELINES FOR TRIBUNAL HEARINGS

5.1 INTRODUCTION

» The AFL Tribunal (the Tribunal) is established pursuant to the AFL Regulations (the Regulations) to hear and determine charges brought before it under the Regulations.
» The guidelines contained in this section 5 have been made pursuant to Regulation 18.6(a)(ii) of the Regulations and are published to guide AFL Clubs, Players and their representatives in relation to various aspects of the operation of the Tribunal. They are also used to guide the Tribunal Jury in relation to legal matters they may be required to consider.
» These guidelines support the Regulations but are not a substitute for them and may from time to time be varied or expanded to cover other matters. Before having any involvement with the Tribunal, Clubs, Players and their representatives should ensure that they are conversant with the relevant Laws of the Game and the Regulations, particularly Regulation 18 which governs the operation of the Tribunal.

5.2 EVIDENCE OF VICTIM PLAYER

» Regulation 18.14(a) of the Regulations provides that at any hearing before the Tribunal, no person shall call evidence from a person against whom a Reportable Offence is alleged to have been committed (Victim Player) without leave of the Chairman.
» The discretion under Regulation 18.14(a) cannot be exercised arbitrarily. The determining factor is whether the interests of justice require that leave be given. Leave will be granted if the Chairman is satisfied that the Player’s case will be prejudiced or disadvantaged if the Victim Player’s evidence is not called.
» Thus, in seeking leave, it will be necessary to be able to outline the evidence it is anticipated the Victim Player will give if called and how the Player’s case will be prejudiced or disadvantaged if that evidence is not called. It is important that contact be made with the Victim Player to ascertain what evidence that Player will be able to give. The Regulations do not prohibit contact being made with a Victim Player to ascertain his account of the incident. They do preclude any attempt to influence that account, to put words into his mouth. Leave will not be given to enable fishing exercises to be undertaken in the hope that some evidence of assistance might be forthcoming from the Victim Player.
» Should it be intended to seek leave, details of the basis of the application should be provided to the Secretary of the Tribunal by 11am on the day of the hearing. Unless otherwise requested, the Chairman will determine the application at the commencement of the hearing. To be able to determine the application before the hearing, clear and comprehensive details of the basis of the application need to be provided.
» On the making of an application for leave to call a Victim Player, the Secretary of the Tribunal will request the Player pursuant to Regulation 18.16(a) of the Regulations to appear before the Tribunal. That appearance must be personally or by video link. If the application for leave is not determined until the commencement of the hearing, the Victim Player will need to be at the hearing or available on video link to give evidence if the application is granted.
» Pursuant to Regulation 18.16(b), the Chairman may excuse the Victim Player from appearing personally or by video link if the chairman is of the opinion that the Player is suffering from any injury or medical condition that would prevent the Player from attending. If the Victim Player was so excused, his evidence can be taken by telephone link. Particulars of any application to be excused pursuant to Regulation 18.16(b) should be provided to the Secretary of the Tribunal by 11am on the day of the hearing. These particulars should provide sufficient details of the injury or medical condition, preferably through a Doctor’s Certificate, to enable the Chairman to properly consider the application and decide whether the Player should be excused.

5.3 ALLEGATION AGAINST OTHER PLAYER – FAIRNESS TO THAT PLAYER

If an allegation is to be made of illegal, improper or unsportsmanlike conduct on the part of another Player as part of a defence case, the Player making the allegation must inform the other Player and the Tribunal Secretary in writing by 11am on the day of the Tribunal hearing of the substance of the allegation. Subject to the guideline relating to Victim Player evidence, if fairness requires, such a Player may be called by Tribunal Counsel to give evidence.

5.4 EVIDENCE OF UMPIRES

Tribunal Counsel will not necessarily call the umpires. Should it be desired that an umpire be called, the Secretary of the Tribunal should be informed by 11am on the day of the hearing. He will then arrange for the attendance of the umpire either personally, by video or telephone link. In these circumstances, the umpire would then be called at the hearing by Tribunal Counsel.

5.5 VIDEO EVIDENCE OF OTHER INCIDENTS

» The Player or Tribunal Counsel may rely before the Tribunal on any incident forming part of a defence case, the Player making the allegation must inform the other Player and the Tribunal Secretary in writing by 11am on the day of the Tribunal hearing of the substance of the allegation. The Regulations do not prohibit the application of such video evidence to be ready to be played at the hearing.
» Adequate notice should be given to the Secretary of the Tribunal of any prescribed video example sought to be relied upon so he can arrange for that video evidence to be ready to be played at the hearing.
» Subject to the guideline relating to Victim Player evidence, if fairness requires, such a Player may be called by Tribunal Counsel to give evidence.

5.6 SANCTION – EXCEPTIONAL AND COMPELLING CIRCUMSTANCES

» Regulation 18.6(a)(ii) provides that where there are exceptional and compelling circumstances which would make it inappropriate to apply the consequences in Appendix 1 to the classification that has been determined by the Tribunal Jury for an offence, the Tribunal Jury may impose such sanction or sanctions as they in their absolute discretion think fit.
» Should it be submitted that there are exceptional and compelling circumstances which would make it inappropriate to apply the consequences in Appendix 1 to the classification, relevant particulars of the submission should be provided to the Secretary of the Tribunal by 11am on the day of the hearing.
6 / DIRECTIONS FOR TRIBUNAL JURY MEMBERS

6.1 GENERAL DIRECTIONS
In all cases the Tribunal Jury will be instructed to apply the following directions:

» Members of the Jury you are the only judges of the facts in this case. No one else. You decide the case upon the evidence – the oral evidence from any witness, the video evidence and any documentary evidence.

» You should bear in mind that video films shown in slow motion may give an impression that is different to an action, or actions, that happen quickly in a brief period of time, and you should bear in mind the difference between slow time and real time.

» You do not decide the case according to prejudice, bias, sympathy, gossip or anything else. If there has been any television, radio or press publicity, you should totally disregard that. You should totally disregard any comment about the case by any coach, club member, commentator or any other person.

» It is your duty to act independently and impartially.

You consider all the evidence in the case. You give each part of it the importance which you think as a judge it should be given. You accept what you believe is true and should be accepted, reject what you disbelieve – and in accordance with the weight you give to such evidence, as you accept, you determine what in your judgement are the true facts.

» In assessing the evidence and determining the facts, you make use of your common sense, your experience of life. You have each had substantial experience over a long period of time as footballers. You also make use of that experience. You judge the evidence fairly and impartially in the light of your common sense, your experience of life and your experience as footballers.

» Any comment or argument of Tribunal Counsel and the Player’s Advocate or Counsel is of course not evidence. It is intended to help you form a view of the evidence, but no more. If you disagree with it, you discard it. Any comment or argument I might put to you about the facts – as distinct from these directions of law – is in the same position as that put by counsel.

» You must be satisfied on the Balance of Probabilities that any alleged Reportable Offence or Grading has been established against the Player. That is that you are clearly satisfied that it is more probable than not that he committed the alleged offence or that the alleged Grading applies. The Player does not have to establish anything.

» Although your verdict does not have to be unanimous, you should endeavour to be unanimous – that is all agreed. However, if you cannot all agree, your verdict can be by a majority of you. That is where two of you are agreed.

6.2 SPECIFIC DIRECTIONS
These will be given when appropriate to do so. Matters that may be the subject of specific directions where relevant include:

» Elements of the Reportable Offence
» Conduct
» Impact
» Contact
» Evidence of Victim Player
» Absence of reaction by Umpires or adjacent Players
» Exemplary record
» Prior offences
» Attempt to commit a Reportable Offence
» Exceptional and compelling circumstances
» Video evidence

SCHEDULE 1: FULL LIST OF REPORTABLE OFFENCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLASSIFIABLE OFFENCES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Striking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kicking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kneeing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rough Conduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forceful Front-On Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head-butt or Contact Using Head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unreasonable or Unnecessary Contact to the Eye Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unreasonable or Unnecessary Contact to the Face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scratching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripping</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIRECT TRIBUNAL OFFENCES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intentional Contact with an Umpire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye-Gouging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striking an Umpire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spitting on or at an Umpire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spitting on Another Person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempting to Strike an Umpire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behaving in an Abusive, Insulting, Threatening or Obscene Manner Towards or in Relation to an Umpire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Classifiable Offence or Fixed Financial Offence which Attracts a Sanction that the MRO Finds Inappropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Other Act of Serious Misconduct which the MRO Considers Appropriate to Refer to the Tribunal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIXED FINANCIAL SANCTIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abusive, Insulting, Threatening, Obscene Language Towards or in Relation to an Umpire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempt to Strike, Kick, Trip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instigator of Melee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spitting at Another Player</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unreasonable or Unnecessary Contact with an Umpire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Careless Contact with an Umpire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disputing Decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engaging in a Melee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obscene Gesture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unreasonable or Unnecessary Contact with an Injured Player</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrestling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfering with Player Kicking for Goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Leaving Playing Surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaking Goalpost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Wasting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staging</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCHEDULE 2: EXAMPLES OF REPORTABLE OFFENCES
The following incidents are examples of Reportable Offences available to Clubs which were processed in accordance with these guidelines. Vision of these incidents is also available upon request to the AFL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLASSIFIABLE OFFENCES</th>
<th>STRIKING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Example 1 - Luke McDonald on Michael Barlow (Round 6, 2017)</td>
<td>Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 2 - Luke Hodge on Tom Papley (Round 19, 2017)</td>
<td>Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 3 - Willie Rioli on Ben Cunnington (Round 19, 2018)</td>
<td>Careless Conduct, Low Impact, High Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 4 - Alex Witherden on Ben Crocker (Round 7, 2018)</td>
<td>Careless Conduct, Low Impact, High Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 5 - Alex Rance on Luke Breust (Round 3, 2018)</td>
<td>Careless Conduct, Low Impact, High Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 6 - Josh Caddy on David Mackay (Round 2, 2018)</td>
<td>Careless Conduct, Medium Impact, High Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 7 - Jake Carlisle on Jack Riewoldt (Round 10, 2018)</td>
<td>Careless Conduct, High Impact, High Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 8 - Tim Membrey on Dylan Grimes (Round 16, 2017)</td>
<td>Careless Conduct, High Impact, High Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 9 - Harris Andrews on Mark Baguley (Round 12, 2018)</td>
<td>Intentional Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 10 - Brayden Maynard on Sam Petrevski-Seton (Round 14, 2018) Intentional Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 11 - Brandon Ellis on Toby McLean (Round 23, 2018)</td>
<td>Intentional Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 12 - Jack Steele on Max Gawn (Round 7, 2018)</td>
<td>Intentional Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 13 - Michael Walters on Jake Kelly (Round 12, 2018)</td>
<td>Intentional Conduct, Medium Impact, Body Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 14 - Dayne Zorko on Lachie Plowman (Round 18, 2017)</td>
<td>Intentional Conduct, Medium Impact, Body Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 15 - Zaine Cordy on Cale Hooker (Round 3, 2018)</td>
<td>Intentional Conduct, Low Impact, High Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 16 - Mitch Robinson on Sebastian Ross (Round 1, 2018)</td>
<td>Intentional Conduct, Low Impact, High Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 17 - Sam Day on Neville Jetta (Round 8, 2018)</td>
<td>Intentional Conduct, Low Impact, Groin Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 18 - Daniel Howe on Patrick Cripps (Round 18, 2018)</td>
<td>Intentional Conduct, Medium Impact, High Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 20 - Bachar Houli on Jed Lamb (Round 14, 2017)</td>
<td>Intentional Conduct, High Impact, High Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 21 - Jeremy Cameron on Harris Andrews (Round 14, 2018)</td>
<td>Intentional Conduct, Severe Impact, High Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 22 - Andrew Gaff on Andrew Brayshaw (Round 20, 2018)</td>
<td>Intentional Conduct, Severe Impact, High Contact</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROUGH CONDUCT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Example 23 - Jarrod Waite on Harry Marsh (JLT Week 1, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 24 - Luke Shuey on Bailey Banfield (Round 6, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 25 - Jeremy Cameron on Will Schofield (Round 8, 2018)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Example 26 - Tom Hawkins on Nick Haynes (Round 7, 2018) | Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact |

| Example 27 - Dougal Howard on Josh Kennedy (Round 7, 2018) | Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact |
| Example 28 - Mitch Robinson on Patrick Dangerfield (Round 19, 2018) | Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact |
| Example 29 - Cameron Zurhaar on David Mirra (Round 5, 2018) | Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact |
| Example 30 - Braydon Preuss on Jackson Thurlow (Round 2, 2017) | Careless Conduct, Medium Impact, Body Contact |
| Example 31 - Ben Cunnington on Taylor Duryea (JLT Week 2, 2017) | Careless Conduct, Medium Impact, High Contact |
| Example 32 - Dale Thomas on Jordan Gallucci (Round 7, 2018) | Intentional Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact |
| Example 33 - Brennan Cox on Shaun Higgins (Round 10, 2018) | Intentional Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROUGH CONDUCT (HIGH BUMPS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Example 34 - Aldyn Johnson on Tom Cole (Round 21, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 35 - Trent Dumont on Ed Phillips (Round 21, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 36 - Lindsay Thomas on Logan Austin (Round 16, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 37 - Mitch Robinson on Shaun Higgins (Round 11, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 38 - Devon Smith on Zac Langdon (Round 10, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 39 - Steven May on Ed Curnow (Round 19, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 40 - Dale Thomas on Levi Greenwood (Round 14, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 41 - Jack Bowes on Jacob Weitering (Round 2, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 42 - Travis Varcoe on Luke Dahlhaus (Round 1, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 43 - Zak Jones on Kyle Langford (Round 19, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 44 - Lindsay Thomas on Scott Selwood (Round 5, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 45 - Nathan Brown on Adam Saad (Round 21, 2018)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXCEPTION: CONTESTING THE BALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Example 46 - Jordan Murdoch on Isaac Heeney (Round 6, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 47 - Brayden Crossley on Toby McLean (Round 7, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 48 - Luke Hodge on Dyson Heppell (Round 12, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 49 - Ben Ronke on Trent Dumont (Round 17, 2018)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXCEPTION: CIRCUMSTANCES OUTSIDE CONTROL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Example 50 - Aaron Young on Lachie Henderson (Round 23, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 51 - Lance Franklin on Tim Taranto (JLT Week 3, 2017)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROUGH CONDUCT (DANGEROUS TACKLES)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Example 52 - Brandon Parfitt on Jayden Short (Round 20, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 53 - Steele Sidebottom on Zac Fisher (Round 3, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 54 - Nick Vlastuin on Luke Breust (Round 3, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 55 - Sam Frost on Jed Anderson (Round 3, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 56 - Nic Naitanui on Karl Amon (Round 7, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 57 - Sam Menegola on Luke Hodge (Round 4, 2017)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example 58 - Koby Stevens on Nathan Wilson (Round 7, 2017)
Careless Conduct, Medium Impact, High Contact

Example 59 - Taylor Walker on Josh Kelly (Round 21, 2018)
Careless Conduct, High Impact, High Contact

Example 60 - Brodie Grundy on Ben Brown (Round 20, 2017)
Careless Conduct, High Impact, High Contact

Example 61 - Cam McCarthy on Sam Gilbert (Round 15, 2017)
Careless Conduct, High Impact, High Contact

Example 62 - Ryan Nyhuis on Robbie Gray (Round 17, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Severe Impact, High Contact

Example 63 - Kade Stewart on Andrew Mackie (JLT Week 1, 2017)
Intentional Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 64 - Mason Cox on Jason Johannisen (Round 10, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, High Contact

Example 65 - Jake Barrett on Rory Laird (Round 18, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, High Contact

Example 66 - Ricky Henderson on Levi Casboul (Round 18, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, High Contact

Example 67 - Conor McKenna on Riley Knight (Round 4, 2017)
Careless Conduct, Medium Impact, High Contact

Example 68 - Nathan Krakouer on Sean Dempster (JLT Week 2, 2017)
Careless Conduct, Medium Impact, High Contact

Example 69 - Dawson Simpson on Jack Newnes (Round 5, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, High Contact

Example 70 - Archie Smith on Justin Westhoff (Round 13, 2017)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, High Contact

Example 71 - Jesse Joyce on Jason Castagna (Round 19, 2017)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, High Contact

Example 72 - Sam Powell-Pepper on Marc Murphy (Round 5, 2017)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, High Contact

Example 73 - Nick Robertson on Trent Cotchin (Round 17, 2017)
Intentional Conduct, Low Impact, High Contact

Example 74 - Dayne Zorko on Marc Murphy (Round 16, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, High Contact

Example 75 - Jed Lamb on Jade Gresham (Round 17, 2018)
Intentional Conduct, Low Impact, High Contact

Example 76 - Lance Franklin on Luke Hodge (Round 19, 2017)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, High Contact

Example 77 - Jack Ziebell on Jack Martin (Round 15, 2017)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 78 - Jack Newnes on Flynn Appleby (Round 9, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 79 - David Swallow on Gary Ablett (Round 11, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 80 - Dale Morris on Jy Simpkin (Round 21, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 81 - Daniel Howe on Zac Fisher (Round 18, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Severe Impact, Body Contact

Example 82 - Conor McKenna on Tory Dickson (Round 3, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 83 - James Sicily on Shaun Atley (Round 3, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 84 - Jimmy Webster on Nick Suban (Round 15, 2017)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 85 - Jake Carlisle (Round 15, 2017)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 86 - Ben Ronke (Round 6, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 87 - Sam Rowe (Round 14, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 88 - Josh Dunkley (Round 18, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 89 - Clayton Oliver (Round 21, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 90 - Christian Petracca (Round 20, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 91 - Jack Steven (Round 10, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 92 - Ben Cunnington (Round 16, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 93 - Collingwood v Carlton (Taylor Adams, Patrick Cripps, Brayden Maynard) (Round 14, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 94 - Fremantle v West Coast (Seán Darcy, Jeremy McGovern, Luke Ryan, Daniel Venables) (Round 20, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 95 - Geelong v Sydney (Kieren Jack, Tom Stewart, Zach Tuohy) (Round 6, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 96 - Brayden Fiorini on Dayne Zorko (Round 22, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 97 - Bailey Banfield on Aaron Hall (Round 3, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 98 - Matt Crouch and Sam Powell-Pepper (Round 8, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 99 - Bailey Banfield and Jack Steven (Round 8, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 100 - Mitch Robinson (Round 22, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 101 - Alex Rance (Round 11, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 102 - Josh Green (JLT Week 3, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 103 - Callum Sinclair (Round 11, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 104 - Sam Frost on Isaac Smith (Round 4, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 105 - Dustin Martin on Jimmy Webster (Round 10, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 106 - Ben Cunnington on Steven Motlop (Round 6, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact

Example 107 - Toby Nankervis on Matt Crouch (Round 2, 2018)
Careless Conduct, Low Impact, Body Contact